

## Commissioners

---

**From:** Bob Williams <bertram1700@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 3:09 PM  
**To:** Commissioners  
**Subject:** 7 - 6 - 612 ( a.) ESP 7 - 6 - 2113

IMO there are max benefits  
And minimized costs

If you follow order and the Law

And institute suits for the recovery of the sum [\$500] per negligent OR REFUSED report

Of the Treasurer.

Bob

## Commissioners

---

**From:** Bob Williams <bertram1700@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 30, 2014 6:52 AM  
**To:** Commissioners  
**Subject:** Win/Win/Win

What we the public learned back in English class about the word SHALL

IS THE FOUNDATION THAT BLACK'S  
LAW BUILDS UP FROM

in determining SHALL to be interpreted  
AS REQUIRED, also as MUST

And as I recall from pre law

The word SHALL was understood

To be a short word so commonly understood in affairs civic and in governance, and so clear with intent, And so easy to write and think forward from, that SHALL does in fact have an imperative meaning, both in everyday Language, and ESP IN LEGAL LANGUAGE

So I looked for exceptions in Case Law

That might be relative to 7-6-2113

I'd hope your Counsel has also

Presented Case Law of how the word SHALL would most likely be interpreted By Montana Courts

But w a million dollars of uncashed

Checks found in disorder??????

How could any court

Allow that wi 7-6-2113 SHALL means other than MUST, MUST DO . HAVE TO DO?

However I am inexperienced

At this and just raising

The most important assist

That I can.

7-6-2113 Looks like a WIN/WIN/WIN

LOOKS LIKE TIME FOR  
LEADERSHIP

LIKE ASSIGNING REGINA AND DAN  
TO GET CHECKS BANKED  
WAS GOOD LEADERSHIP

AND HAD TO BE DONE

MAYBE STATE LAW LOOKS SAME WAY AT 7-6-2113

IT HAS TO BE DONE!

Helpfully I Hope,

Bob Williams  
3609 Easy Street  
Stevensville  
360-8080