June 27, 2014

RE: Our opposition to any changes to Ravalli County Airport.

Dear Mr. Norderud ,

We live in a subdivision south of the Ravalli County Airport. We have been residents of the County since 1981 and have lived in our current residence since 1997. We are strongly opposed to any change or redesign of the airport including making new and longer runways. Doing so would severely diminish the property value of our house as well as destroy the livability of our home. We will necessarily have to do everything within our power to prevent this from happening.

We do not believe we will act alone in protecting our property value and peace of mind. It is our opinion that this expansion will never be accepted by the voters of this county for a myriad of valid reasons. In addition to the ones set out above, these include the number of subdivisions and a reach approved that would be adversely effected by the change as well as the fact that the natural expansion of the City of Hamilton in the future is toward the east.

The fate of the Kalispell Airport expansion plans should be a cautionary tale for any similar plans for runway lengthening at the Ravalli County Airport. Over the last 25 years Ravalli County voters have show a eager willingness to use the initiative, referendum and the election process when County Commissioners have dictated policy they don't agree with. This characteristic was most recently demonstrated three weeks ago by the primary election which left two Commissioners both of whom were enthusiastic supporters of airport expansion, as lame ducks

Sincerely,

George and Lynda Corn

279 Noland Dr, Hamilton, MT 59840

Cc. Ravalli County Commissioners

RECEIVED

JUL 01 2014

Ravalli County Commissioners

[illegible]

RE: Our opposition to any changes to [illegible]

Dear [illegible]:

We live in a subdivision north of the Rawson Court [illegible]
[illegible] have lived in our current residence since [illegible]
[illegible] the airport including [illegible]
the property value of our home as well as [illegible]
in addition to our concerns [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] would be adversely affected by the change [illegible]
[illegible] in the future [illegible] the airport.

The [illegible] of the Gerald Ford Airport [illegible]
[illegible] regarding of the Airport [illegible]
now is exceedingly [illegible] due to the [illegible]
[illegible] have elicited [illegible]
[illegible] and three weeks ago [illegible]
[illegible] audio, [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] and [illegible] Dr.

[illegible] Dr. [illegible], MI 48506

[illegible]

RE: Our opposition to any changes to [illegible]

Dear Mr. [illegible]:

We live [illegible] south of the [illegible]
[illegible]