

From: James R. Olsen, Hamilton, Montana

January 10, 2021

To: Ravalli County Board of Commissioners and Ravalli County Board of Health

Subject: Review of “The Criminal Conspiracy of Coronavirus” by Dr. David E. Martin submitted as an attachment Letter archived as 12-09-221 [Sic 2021] Stand Together for Freedom

Dear Commissioners and Health Board Members,

PURPOSE. The Board of County Commissioners was provided an essay by Dr. David E. Martin for review last month. I have undertaken to review it myself and share my observations.

I have reviewed a number of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS), the latest one being the U.S. Forest Service Mud Creek Project, another being the EIS for the BSL-4 expansion to Rocky Mountain Laboratories (RML). I have a problem — if someone references a document to make a point, I actually read it. I do the same here.

A copy of Dr. Martin’s Essay (attached to the letter in the subject line) is included in Appendix II for convenience. Martin’s larger body of work can be found here.¹

IMPLICATIONS OF ACCUSING SOMEONE OF A CRIME. Dr. Martin accuses Dr. Baric and Dr. Fauci of committing felonies. Certainly, a serious accusation for both men, in particular Dr. Baric, a private citizen. If this accusation has merit, along with the accusation that citizens of Ravalli County and Montana have suffered due to a crime, then presumably this should be forwarded to the Montana Attorney General under Title 45 and 46 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA²).

On the other hand, if Dr. Martin’s accusations are patently false, having been publicly broadcast in writing, then presumably Dr. Baric, a private citizen, may have cause to sue for slander and liable (MCA 27-1-108 and MCA 27-1-802) or may be prosecuted under Criminal Defamation (MCA 45-8-212) depending on the circumstances and harm done.

PARAGRAPH 1 begins with some background on the first two sentences for which no attempt is made to correlate with the rest of the essay except to put in the reader’s mind that research was done on vaccines.

I know people who believed, before the COVID-19 outbreak, that the vaccines are a nefarious method for population control. I do not agree with that premise, have not seen evidence that has compelled me to believe it. If one believes that premise, then anything having to do with vaccines can be viewed as immoral, which could lead one to call it a crime.

My review does not accept that premise.

¹ David Martin, “The Fauci/COVID-19 Dossier,” April 8, 2020. Internet Archive-.

https://ia803408.us.archive.org/7/items/the-fauci-covid-19-dossier_202104/the-fauci-covid-19-dossier.pdf

² Montana Code Annotated: <https://leg.mt.gov/bills/mca/index.html>

“During the same period” as the vaccine research, the letter claims that Dr. Fauci funded Baric to “commercially weaponize a naturally occurring toxin...” talking about both the commercialization and weaponization.

The first issue is “Commercialize,” the idea of a government, university, private interaction to further a technology is common in this society and has been since the foundation of the United States as it expanded from the East Coast across the continent, with government subsidies and military support to private enterprises — one large-scale example being the subsidies and land grants to the cross-continental railroads.

It goes deep and broad. I experienced this in two industries I participated in — defense and agriculture. In both, private companies I worked for or owned got grants that would improve or commercialize our technology or improve our production. We would, in turn, use the results to sell product back to the government as well as private enterprises, in one case military systems, in the other restoration-grade native plants. Sometimes government support is a life saver — the resources of the State of Montana were available through the Extension Agent to figure out what was eating its way through our greenhouse one year.

Thus, the government to business relationship is widely practiced, legal, and from the perspective of the people in the industry seen as moral, Yes, moral — there are some outside the defense industry who have a different moral judgment than the participants in the what Eisenhower called the military/industrial complex — the complex I was in.

If the relationship between NIAID and private business is dreadful to anyone, the first place to stop is our local Congressman — they are the ones who legislated it into being.

Dr. Baric seems to do a wide variety of research, and being a professor, oversees research by others.³ Apparently both grants listed in Paragraph 1 seem to have lapsed. I could only find AI23946 (actually search for AI023946). A copy of the description is in Appendix I.⁴

The second issue is “weaponize.” The grant is NOT for weaponizing anything, nor does the patent. The patent referenced does not enhance anything, it is designed to *degrade* it, by inhibiting its ability to replicate in a cell.⁵

The grant led to at least nine published papers, some dealing manipulating and cloning RNA.⁶ An abstract and reference to one of them is in Appendix I. The purpose is to understand the natural mechanism and ways to manipulated them so as to create treatments and vaccines.

³ Ralph S. Baric, *Curriculum Vitae*, <https://media-speakerfile-pre.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/cc4e5e5d442320c20c7f76a0c3cadce51445358867.pdf>

⁴ Ralph S. Baric, NIAID Grant Award, Studies into the Mechanisms for MHV Replication, Search for: “AI023946” — Project Number 5R01 AI023946-18, <https://reporter.nih.gov/search/ps2BdMGG-kGeIeP3nsUNtQ/projects/map/project-details/7185089>

⁵ Patent US 7,279,327, <https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/a8/c0/6a/0584dd67435ef2/US7279327.pdf>

⁶ Europe PMC, Search life-sciences literature, “AI023946” — https://europepmc.org/search?query=GRANT_AGENCY_ID%3A%22AI%2023946_agency_NIAID%20NIH%20HHS%22

When one reads through these processes, it is hard not to wonder if there is not some risk with an unforeseen event. But it is not criminal act to manipulate RNA or manufacture RNA.

I see no criminal activity whatsoever, simply research to try to come up with better ways to make vaccines. And vaccines are legal under the laws passed by Congress and the Montana State Legislature.

PARAGRAPH 2. Patenting genomes was done for SARS by governments to make sure it would be widely available.⁷ For SARS-CoV-2, the COVID-19 agent, the Chinese put it in the public domain in January 2020. We should legislate that for the DNA/RNA description of all life forms.

As a note, I will say that Congress should make all man-made life-forms unpatentable. I know a lot of farmers that would be happy to see, for instance, Monsanto's life-patents go by the board.

When we get to DARPA and MITRE, both of whom I have worked with, they would not be doing their job if they did not look hard and understand a potential military threat. I worked on things like National Missile Defense where we rehearsed World War III, accidental nuclear weapon launches, third world capabilities, rouge commander launches with very detailed simulations — that didn't mean we were planning to instigate a war, but it was our job to figure out how to respond to one.

The fact of a new disease outbreak is predictable — just not when or what. The probability that it will be a coronavirus was a good guess in 2019 and would have been a decade before. When I looked at Event 201, it seemed that was their purpose, though poorly executed in terms of really looking at realistic adaptations and responses.

SUMMARY. I won't go through the rest of the essay unless there is additional interest. The essay does not present information or citations that show criminal activity. But the risks and legal environment for creating life is something that should change. The risks associated with manipulating RNA and DNA should also be examined more closely.

The real tragedy is that the United States did not prepare, did not get ready, for some pandemic, ahead of time. I have written before about restrictions imposed on the public that had unconstitutional restrictions on religious and political gatherings, contained arbitrary rules, and several that had no useful effect. As I have written before, countries that did prepare fared much, much better than the United States in both health and economic outcomes.

Regards.



James R. Olsen
Jim@JamesROlsen.com

⁷ Peg Bickly, *BMC Research News*, "Preemptive SARS patents," May 12, 2003, <https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/gb-spotlight-20030512-01>

APPENDIX I

AI023946 Project Description:⁸

DESCRIPTION (provided by applicant): We have successfully assembled a full-length infectious construct of Mouse Hepatitis Virus (MHV-A59), a group II coronavirus. MHV is the prototype for studying the mechanisms of coronavirus replication, transcription, replicase protein function, pathogenesis, cross species transmission, and assembly.

This proposal focuses on the function of the MHV ORF1a c-terminal replicase proteins in RNA transcription and replication, and on the molecular mechanisms governing MHV cross species transmission and replication efficiency in alternative hosts.

In aim 1, we test the hypothesis that the MHV p10, p22, p12 and p15 (p10-p15) replicase proteins function in viral transcription and replication.

We will map functional domains in these proteins by systematically deleting or duplicating sites in each of the small replicase proteins. Rescue of selected lethal mutations will be tested with the appropriate MHV replicase proteins and precursors encoded in trans using alphavirus replicons or other expression vectors.

The effect of these mutations/deletions on virus replication, replicase protein expression and processing, membrane localization, replication complex formation and RNA synthesis will be evaluated using standard techniques.

In aim 2, the p15 replicase protein will be systematically mutated using cluster charged amino acid to alanine mutagenesis and site specific mutagenesis in selected sites of predicted structure and function. The goal is to isolate a spectrum of mutants with informative phenotypes that map functional domains in the p15 replicase protein.

In aim 3, we hypothesize that at least two distinct evolutionary pathways contribute to MHV cross species transmission. We will use reverse genetics approaches to identify the specific alleles in the S glycoprotein that mediate cross species transmission and altered MHV receptor usage.

We will determine if mutations in HE and/or the replicase also contribute to MHV-MCF7 and MHV-H2 replication fitness in human and hamster cells, respectively.

These experiments will particularly enhance our overall understanding of coronavirus host range expansion, virus-receptor interactions, and replicase protein processing and function in RNA transcription and host range replication efficiency.

⁸Ralph S. Baric, NIAID Grant Award, Studies into the Mechanisms for MHV Replication, Project Number5R01AI023946-18, <https://reporter.nih.gov/search/ps2BdMGG-kGeIeP3nsUNtQ/projects/map/project-details/7185089>

=====
Abstract: “Reverse genetics with a full-length infectious cDNA of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus.”⁹

A previously undescribed coronavirus (CoV) is the etiologic agent responsible for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS).

Using a panel of contiguous cDNAs that span the entire genome, we have assembled a full-length cDNA of the SARS-CoV Urbani strain, and have rescued molecularly cloned SARS viruses (infectious clone SARS-CoV) that contained the expected marker mutations inserted into the component clones.

Recombinant viruses replicated as efficiently as WT virus and both were inhibited by treatment with the cysteine proteinase inhibitor (2S,3S)-transepoxysuccinyl-1-leucylamido-3-methylbutane ethyl ester. In addition, subgenomic transcripts were initiated from the consensus sequence ACGAAC in both the WT and infectious clone SARS-CoV. Availability of a SARS-CoV full-length cDNA provides a template for manipulation of the viral genome, allowing for the rapid and rational development and testing of candidate vaccines and therapeutics against this important human pathogen.

=====
Patent US 7,279,327, October 9, 2007. Methods for Producing Recombinant Coronavirus.¹⁰

Abstract: A helper cell for producing an infectious, *replication defective* coronavirus (or more generally nidovirus) particle cell comprises [Emphasis added]

- (a) a nidovirus permissive cell;
- (b) a nidovirus replicon RNA comprising the nidovirus packaging signal and a heterologous RNA sequence, wherein the replicon RNA further lacks a sequence encoding at least one nidovirus structural protein; and
- (c) at least one separate helper RNA encoding the at least one structural protein absent from the replicon RNA, the helper RNA(s) lacking the nidovirus packaging signal.....

Inventors:

Kristopher M. Curtis, Chapel Hill, NC (US);
Boyd Yount, Hillsborough, NC (US);
Ralph S. Baric, Haw River, NC (US)

Assignee: The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

⁹ Yount B, Curtis KM, Fritz EA, et al. Reverse genetics with a full-length infectious cDNA of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(22):12995-13000.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1735582100

¹⁰ Patent US 7,279,327, <https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/a8/c0/6a/0584dd67435ef2/US7279327.pdf>

APPENDIX II

The Criminal Conspiracy of Coronavirus

Dr. David E. Martin

Throughout the decade of the 90s Pfizer sought to research, develop and patent a coronavirus (CoV) vaccine. Their first patent filing specifically recognizing the S-protein as the immunologic target for vaccines was filed on November 14, 1990 (U.S. Patent 6,372,224). With a focus on swine and canine gastroenteritis, these efforts showed little commercial promise and the patent was abandoned in April of 2000. During the same period, the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID) under the vaccine obsession of Dr. Anthony Fauci, funded Professor Ralph Baric at the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill. This program designed to commercially weaponize a naturally occurring toxin is the beginning of the criminal conspiracy and **violates 18 USC § 175, 15 USC § 1-3, and 15 USC § 8**) Dr. Baric's expertise was understanding how to modify components of the coronavirus associated with cardiomyopathy. NIAID Grants AI 23946 and GM63228 (leading to patent U.S. 7,279,327 "Methods for Producing Recombinant Coronavirus") was the NIH's first Gain-of-Function (GOF) project in which Dr. Baric created an "infectious, replication defective" clone of recombinant coronavirus. This work clearly defined a means of making a natural pathogen more harmful to humans by manipulating the Spike Protein and other receptor targets. A year after filing a patent on this GOF CoV, the world experienced the first outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS).

Under the guise of responding to a public health emergency, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) filed a patent application on the genome of SARS CoV on April 25, 2003. Accessing and manipulating the genomic data (which came from China making an "invention" claim by a U.S. entity illegal **violating 35 USC § 101, 103**), Dr. Baric, Dr. Fauci, and the CDC **violated 18 USC § 175** (a felony). One year earlier, Dr. Baric and his team had already filed a patent which clearly the pathogen CDC claimed as novel in 2003. Three days after filing a patent on the genome, NIH-funded Sequoia Pharmaceuticals filed a patent for the vaccine on the virus invented a mere three days earlier. At the same time, in **violation of 15 USC § 19** Dr. Fauci was appointed to a board position with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (a competitor in vaccine manufacturing) thereby beginning the interlocking directorate¹ anti-trust crime.

In 2005, the DARPA and MITRE hosted a conference in which the intentions of the U.S. Department of Defense was explicit. In a presentation focused on "Synthetic Coronaviruses Biohacking: Biological Warfare Enabling

¹ We note that gain-of-function specialist, Dr. Ralph Baric, was both the recipient of millions of dollars of U.S. research grants from several federal agencies and sat on the World Health Organization's International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) and the Coronaviridae Study Group (CSG). In this capacity, he was both responsible for determining "novelty" of clades of virus species but directly benefited from determining declarations of novelty in the form of new research funding authorizations and associated patenting and commercial collaboration. Together with CDC, NIAID, WHO, academic and commercial parties (including Johnson & Johnson; Sanofi and their several coronavirus patent-holding biotech companies; Moderna; Pfizer; Merck; BioNTech; AstraZeneca; Janssen; Ridgeback; Gilead (Dr. Baric's alter ego); Sherlock Biosciences; and others), a powerful group of interests constituted what are "interlocking directorates" under U.S. anti-trust laws. Further, most of these entities, including the Federal Government ones **violated 35 USC § 200-204** by failing to disclose Federal Government interest in the remedies proposed.

These entities were affiliated with the WHO's Global Preparedness Monitoring Board (GPMB) whose members were instrumental in the Open Philanthropy-funded global coronavirus pandemic "desk-top" exercise EVENT 201 in October 2019. This event, funded by the principal investor in Sherlock Biosciences (a beneficiary of the SARS CoV-2 EUA for CRISPR technology) and linking interlocking funding partner, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation into the GPMB mandated a respiratory disease global preparedness exercise to be completed by September 2020 and alerted us to anticipate an "epidemic" scenario. We expected to see such a scenario emerge from Wuhan or Guangdong China, northern Italy, Seattle, New York or a combination thereof, as Dr. Zhengli Shi and Dr. Baric's work on zoonotic transmission of coronavirus identified overlapping mutations in coronavirus in bat populations located in these areas.

Technologies”, Dr. Baric presented the malleability of CoV as a biological warfare agent. **Violating 18 USC § 175** and inducing the non-competitive market allocation (**violating 15 USC § 8**) for years to follow, Dr. Baric and the U.S. Department of Defense spent over \$45 million in amplifying the toxicity of CoV and its chimeric derivatives.

From 2011 until the alleged COVID-19 pandemic, Dr. Fauci has routinely lamented about the inadequacy of public funding for his vaccine programs and the public’s general unwillingness to succumb to his insistence that every MUST be vaccinated against influenza. Despite repeated appropriations to advance vaccine dependency, his efforts have been largely unsuccessful. NIAID – under Dr. Fauci’s direct authorization – encouraged UNC Chapel and Dr. Baric’s lab to ignore the GoF moratorium in a letter dated October 21, 2014. At that time, Drs. Fauci, Baric and EcoHealthAlliance’s Peter Daszak were in possession of an extremely dangerous Chinese pathogen identified a year earlier in Wuhan.²

While many illegal acts were committed by the conspirators leading up to 2015, the domestic terrorism program (**in violation of 18 USC § 2339**) was announced by NIAID-funded Daszak at the National Academy of Sciences. Here, he announced what was to become the domestic and global terrorism event branded COVID-19.

“...until an infectious disease crisis is very real, present, and at an emergency threshold, it is often largely ignored. To sustain the funding base beyond the crisis, he said, we need to increase public understanding of the need for MCMs such as a pan-influenza or pan-coronavirus vaccine. A key driver is the media, and the economics follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profit at the end of process, Daszak stated.”³

It is not surprising that one year later NIAID’s funding paid off with Dr. Baric’s lab announcing that the Wuhan-derived pathogen was “poised for human emergence”.⁴

Knowing that the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (through CDC, NIH, NIAID, and their funded laboratories and commercial partners) had patents on each proposed element of medical counter measures and their funding, Dr. Fauci, Dr. Gao (China CDC), and Dr. Elias (Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation) conspired to

² By October 2013, the Wuhan Institute of Virology 1 coronavirus S1 spike protein was described in NIAID’s funded work in China. This work involved NIAID, USAID, and Peter Daszak, the head of EcoHealth Alliance. This work, funded under R01AID79231, was pivotal in isolating and manipulating viral fragments selected from sites across China which contained high risk for severe human response. (Ge, XY., Li, JL., Yang, XL. et al. Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* **503**, 535–538 (2013).) The GoF work NIAID allowed to persist in the face of the moratorium was Dr. Baric’s work with this pathogen

³ Forum on Medical and Public Health Preparedness for Catastrophic Events; Forum on Drug Discovery, Development, and Translation; Forum on Microbial Threats; Board on Health Sciences Policy; Board on Global Health; Institute of Medicine; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Rapid Medical Countermeasure Response to Infectious Diseases: Enabling Sustainable Capabilities Through Ongoing Public- and Private-Sector Partnerships: Workshop Summary. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2016 Feb 12. 6, Developing MCMs for Coronaviruses. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK349040/>

⁴ Menachery VD, Yount BL Jr, Sims AC, Debbink K, Agrihothram SS, Grafinski LE, Graham RL, Scobey T, Plante JA, Royal SR, Swanson J, Sheahan TP, Pickles RJ, Corti D, Randell SH, Lanzavecchia A, Marasco WA, Baric RS. 2016. SARS-like WIV1-CoV poised for human emergence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016 Mar 14. pii: 201517719

commit acts of terror on the global population – including the citizens of the United States – when, in September 2019, they published the following mandate in **A World At Risk**:

“Countries, donors and multilateral institutions must be prepared for the worst. A rapidly spreading pandemic due to a lethal respiratory pathogen (whether naturally emergent or accidentally or deliberately released) poses additional preparedness requirements. Donors and multilateral institutions must ensure adequate investment in developing innovative vaccines and therapeutics, surge manufacturing capacity, broad-spectrum antivirals and appropriate non-pharmaceutical interventions. All countries must develop a system for immediately sharing genome sequences of any new pathogen for public health purposes along with the means to share limited medical countermeasures across countries.

Progress indicator(s) by September 2020

- *Donors and countries commit and identify timelines for: financing and development of a universal influenza vaccine, broad spectrum antivirals, and targeted therapeutics. WHO and its Member States develop options for standard procedures and timelines for sharing of sequence data, specimens, and medical countermeasures for pathogens other than influenza.*
- *Donors, countries and multilateral institutions develop a multi-year plan and approach for strengthening R&D research capacity, in advance of and during an epidemic.*
- *WHO, the United Nations Children’s Fund, the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, academic and other partners identify strategies for increasing capacity and integration of social science approaches and researchers across the entire preparedness/response continuum.”⁵*

As if to confirm the utility of the September 2019 demand for “financing and development of” vaccine and the fortuitous SARS CoV-2 alleged outbreak in December of 2019, Dr. Fauci began gloating that his fortunes for additional funding were likely changing for the better. In a February 2020 interview in *STAT*, he was quoted as follows:

“The emergence of the new virus is going to change that figure, likely considerably, Fauci said. “I don’t know how much it’s going to be. But I think it’s going to generate more sustained interest in coronaviruses because it’s very clear that coronaviruses can do really interesting things.”⁶

In November 2019 – one month before the alleged “outbreak” in Wuhan, Moderna entered into a material transfer agreement – brokered by the Vaccine Research Center at NIAID (at which UNC Chapel Hill alum Dr. Kizzy Corbett worked – to access Dr. Baric’s Spike Protein data to commence vaccine development. In his own written statement obtained by the *Financial Times*, he refers to this agreement as being the foundation for the mRNA Moderna vaccine.⁷

To finalize the nature of the racketeering and anti-trust criminal conspiracy, when it came time to commercialize the NIH and DARPA owned spike protein and pass it off as a “vaccine” (in conflict with the standard for vaccines in statutory and scientific application), the Operation Warp Speed contract was awarded to DoD contraction ATI, a subsidiary of ANSER. In a graph reminiscent of the anti-trust hearings at the formation of the Clayton Act in the early 20th century, the identify of the interlocking conflicts of interests are presented in graphic relief. It is with no surprise that the result of this price-fixing conspiracy was the enrichment of the conspiring parties and the harm of consumers.

⁵ https://apps.who.int/gmb/assets/annual_report/GPMB_annualreport_2019.pdf (page 8)

⁶ <https://www.statnews.com/2020/02/10/fluctuating-funding-and-flagging-interest-hurt-coronavirus-research/>

⁷ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32756549/>

